

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,391	09/11/2003		Torben Eriksen	137-3033-U	6929
7	590	06/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Kwadjo Adus	ei-Poku		HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M		
Masco Corpora	tion				
21001 Van Born Road				. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taylor, MI 48180				3753	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,391	ERIKSEN, TORBEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fructe, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	—. iis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	1	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ann nein eenelaeranen.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		a Evaminar
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
,	Examinor. Noto tilo attabiloa om	50 / 1011011 0 / 10111/1 1 0 1 1 2 1
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic	ation No
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(8) 5) Notice of Information	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

Art Unit: 3753

The oath includes a reference to a foreign priority document, but the date of the document was not provided. Thus the claim for priority is incomplete.

Claims 6-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hennessy in view of Wilcox. Hennessy shows a pair of slides 62, 64, each having a pin 124 that engages an arm 140 that rotates a valve spindle 52. Counter top/sink 24 is seen as the recited "body part". Note projecting member 90 secured to the body and sleeve 110 attached to the slide (Fig. 11). The sleeve is at one end of the slide at least at one extreme position of the slide or the other. The manifold 56 is seen as the recited bottom section of claim 4. There are cavities in the sink top for projections 124 to be captured by the arms 140, and they must be matched up in some angular position for the pins 124 to pass through the arms 140 (claim 5). Hennessy shows a faucet outlet 28 in the side of the sink. Wilcox shows a typical faucet arrangement with a swivel tap 12 extending from the valve body. It would have been obvious to replace the Hennessy outlet with a swivel tap as shown by Wilcox in order to be able to direct the water as desired and have more space to put hands or other items under the water stream.

Art Unit: 3753

With respect to the limitations of claims 6-8, applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 7 of Wilcox.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. MacDonald and Antoniello show other examples of slides that are reciprocated to cause rotation of faucet valves. Jong shows another faucet using rotary valves and a swivel spigot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 571-272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Stephen M. Hepperle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753